IRGS Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology
Website: www.irgspublisher.com
Email: office@irgspublisher.com
Vol-1, Iss-1, May–June 2026
ISSN: XXXX-XXXX

AI-Powered Simulations and Virtual Laboratories: Reimagining Undergraduate Chemistry Education in Nigeria

Usman Rufai Fakai[1], Adamu Zubairu Utono[2]
[1]Department of Chemistry Education, Adamu Augie College of Education, P.M.B 1012, Argungu, Kebbi State, Nigeria
[2]Hydroelectric Power Producing Areas Development Commission (HYPPADEC), Nigeria

Article History:
Received: 16/05/2026
Accepted: 01/06/2026
Published: 20/06/2026

Corresponding Author:
Usman Rufai Fakai

Abstract

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into science education has opened new frontiers for innovative teaching and learning, particularly in resource-constrained environments. This paper presents a theoretical and conceptual exploration of the potential of AI-powered simulations and virtual laboratories in transforming undergraduate Chemistry education in Nigeria. Drawing on established learning theories — including constructivism, experiential learning, and connectivism — the paper argues that AI-driven virtual labs offer a viable, scalable, and pedagogically robust alternative to conventional physical laboratories, which are often inadequately equipped in many Nigerian universities. The paper examines the conceptual frameworks underpinning AI-powered simulation tools, highlighting their capacity to provide interactive, personalized, and safe experimental experiences for undergraduate Chemistry students. It further interrogates the pedagogical affordances of these technologies in enhancing students' conceptual understanding, laboratory skills, and scientific reasoning. Key challenges — including infrastructural deficits, digital literacy gaps, economic barriers, and policy limitations — are critically analyzed alongside actionable, evidence-based recommendations for stakeholders in Nigerian higher education. Drawing on comparative experiences from across the Global South, the paper also situates the Nigerian context within broader international discourse on technology-enhanced science education. The paper concludes that deliberate investment in AI-powered virtual laboratory technologies, supported by robust policy frameworks, curriculum reform, and capacity-building initiatives, holds significant promise for revolutionizing Chemistry education at the undergraduate level in Nigeria and across the African continent.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, virtual laboratories, Chemistry education, undergraduate education, Nigeria, constructivism, experiential learning, simulation, technology-enhanced learning, STEM education, Africa

Article Citation: Usman Rufai Fakai, and Adamu Zubairu Utono (2026). AI-Powered Simulations and Virtual Laboratories: Reimagining Undergraduate Chemistry Education in Nigeria. **IRGS Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology (IRGSJJSET),** Vol-1(Iss-1), 6-12.

1. Introduction

The teaching and learning of Chemistry at the undergraduate level is inherently laboratory-intensive. Experimental work constitutes the bedrock of scientific inquiry, enabling students to develop practical skills, conceptual understanding, and the scientific reasoning capacities that are essential for careers in research, industry, and professional practice. The laboratory experience occupies a privileged position in Chemistry education, not merely as a site of skill acquisition, but as an epistemic environment in which students encounter the empirical foundations of chemical knowledge and learn to think, work, and reason as scientists (Hofstein & Lunetta, 2004). Yet, despite the acknowledged centrality of laboratory work to Chemistry education, the practical realities of many Nigerian universities severely compromise students' access to quality experimental learning experiences.

Nigeria operates one of the largest higher education systems in sub-Saharan Africa, with over 170 accredited universities enrolling millions of students across diverse disciplines (National Universities Commission [NUC], 2022). However, the system is confronted by deep-seated structural challenges that have persistently undermined educational quality. Among these, the deterioration of physical laboratory infrastructure stands as one of the most critical constraints on science education. Studies have consistently documented the prevalence of inadequate laboratory equipment, expired and insufficient chemical reagents, dysfunctional ventilation and safety systems, and critically underfunded laboratory budgets across Nigerian universities (Aina, 2013; Achor et al., 2014). These deficiencies are particularly acute in state and federal colleges of education, which train the Chemistry teachers of the future and yet frequently lack the basic laboratory resources needed to model good experimental practice.
The global emergence and rapid maturation of Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies over the past decade have introduced genuinely transformative possibilities for education across all disciplines and levels. Within science education, AI-powered simulations and virtual laboratories have attracted growing scholarly and policy attention as tools capable of providing students with rich, interactive, and personalized experimental learning experiences within digital environments (de Jong et al., 2013; Potkonjak et al., 2016). These tools encompass a spectrum of applications — from molecular dynamics simulators and virtual titration platforms to fully immersive three-dimensional chemistry laboratory environments — and have demonstrated considerable pedagogical effectiveness in multiple international contexts. In environments where physical laboratory access is severely constrained, AI-powered virtual laboratories represent not merely a supplement to conventional instruction but a potentially transformative educational infrastructure.

Despite the evident relevance of AI-powered virtual laboratories to the Nigerian educational context, scholarly attention to this intersection remains limited. Most existing studies on virtual laboratories in the developing world have focused on Asia and Latin America, with Africa — and Nigeria in particular — remaining underrepresented in the research literature (Potkonjak et al., 2016; Akinyemi & Ofoegbu, 2020). This paper seeks to contribute to filling this gap by undertaking a theoretically grounded, contextually situated conceptual analysis of the potential of AI-powered simulations and virtual laboratories for undergraduate Chemistry education in Nigeria. Specifically, the paper: (i) establishes the theoretical frameworks informing the pedagogical use of virtual laboratories; (ii) examines the specific affordances of AI-powered simulation tools for Chemistry education; (iii) critically analyzes the challenges constraining their adoption in Nigeria; (iv) surveys comparative experiences from other developing-country contexts; and (v) proposes a set of evidence-informed recommendations for policy and practice.

2. Theoretical Framework

2.1 Constructivism and the Active Construction of Chemical Knowledge

The primary theoretical foundation informing this paper's analysis is constructivism, a learning theory with particular resonance for laboratory-based science education. Rooted in the developmental psychology of Piaget (1972) and extended by the sociocultural perspectives of Vygotsky (1978), constructivism holds that learners do not passively receive knowledge transmitted by instructors but actively construct understanding through direct interaction with their environment. This process of knowledge construction is generative rather than reproductive: students build new conceptual structures by integrating new experiences with existing cognitive frameworks, modifying and elaborating their understanding through cycles of encounter, conflict, and resolution (Driver et al., 1994).

The constructivist perspective provides a compelling rationale for laboratory-based Chemistry education: the laboratory is the site par excellence at which students encounter chemistry as a dynamic, empirical, and sense-making enterprise rather than a body of facts to be memorized. AI-powered virtual laboratories align deeply with constructivist principles by providing students with dynamic, responsive, and manipulable environments in which they can design experiments, alter variables, observe outcomes, interpret data, and revise their conceptual models. Unlike passive multimedia resources — videos, animations, or textbook illustrations — AI-driven simulations require active, decision-making engagement from the learner, generating the cognitive activity that constructivism identifies as the engine of meaningful learning (Zacharia & Olympiou, 2011).

The social dimension of constructivism — most fully developed by Vygotsky in his concept of the zone of proximal development — is also addressed by sophisticated AI-powered laboratory platforms. Intelligent tutoring components embedded within virtual laboratory systems can serve a scaffolding function analogous to that of a more knowledgeable peer or instructor, offering graduated hints, targeted questioning, and adaptive feedback that guide students toward deeper understanding while remaining sensitive to individual learning trajectories. In the Nigerian higher education context, where large class sizes frequently preclude individualized instructional support, this AI-mediated scaffolding represents a significant pedagogical advantage.

2.2 Experiential Learning Theory

Kolb's (1984) experiential learning theory provides a complementary theoretical lens for understanding the pedagogical value of virtual laboratories. Kolb conceptualized learning as a four-stage cyclical process encompassing: concrete experience (CE), in which the learner engages in a direct experience; reflective observation (RO), in which the learner reflects on and observes the experience from multiple perspectives; abstract conceptualization (AC), in which the learner creates concepts that integrate observations into sound theories; and active experimentation (AE), in which the learner applies theories to make decisions and solve problems. Authentic learning, in Kolb's framework, involves the full traversal of this cycle.

AI-powered virtual laboratories are uniquely positioned to support the full experiential learning cycle in ways that neither traditional didactic instruction nor even conventional physical laboratories always achieve. The concrete experience stage is addressed through the conduct of simulated experiments, which — in high-fidelity AI-driven environments — can approximate the phenomenological character of physical laboratory work with remarkable accuracy. Reflective observation is supported by built-in data recording, graphing, and analytical tools that prompt students to examine their experimental results systematically. Abstract conceptualization is facilitated by AI tutoring components that link experimental observations to underlying theoretical principles. Active experimentation is encouraged through iterative experimental design features that allow students to modify procedures and test new hypotheses in response to prior results (Klahr & Nigam, 2004).

2.3 Connectivism and Technology-Enhanced Learning Networks

A third theoretical framework of relevance is connectivism, articulated by Siemens (2005) as a learning theory for the digital age. Connectivism positions learning not as an individual cognitive process but as the creation and traversal of connections across networks of information, people, and digital resources. In the context of AI-powered virtual laboratories, connectivism draws attention to the capacity of these tools to link students to global repositories of chemical knowledge, peer learning communities, and expert instructional resources that transcend the constraints of any single institution.

For Nigerian Chemistry students in institutions with limited local expertise and resources, the networked dimension of AI-powered learning environments is especially significant. Cloud-based virtual laboratory platforms can connect students to internationally benchmarked experimental content, collaborative learning communities, and continuously updated databases of chemical data and procedures. This connective capacity effectively transforms the resource environment of even the most poorly equipped Nigerian institution, enabling students to access the kinds of rich, current, and expert-informed experimental learning experiences previously available only in well-resourced universities.

2.4 Conceptual Framework: AI-Powered Virtual Laboratories in Chemistry Education

Building on the three theoretical frameworks outlined above, this paper proposes a conceptual framework for understanding the role of AI-powered virtual laboratories in undergraduate Chemistry education in Nigeria. The framework identifies four core functional dimensions of AI-powered virtual laboratories that correspond to distinct pedagogical values: (i) simulation fidelity — the degree to which virtual laboratory environments accurately replicate the phenomena, procedures, and sensory dimensions of physical chemistry experimentation; (ii) adaptive intelligence — the capacity of AI components to monitor student performance, diagnose misconceptions, and adapt instructional support to individual learning needs; (iii) accessibility and scalability — the ability of virtual laboratory platforms to reach large numbers of students across diverse institutional and geographic contexts without proportional increases in cost; and (iv) epistemic engagement — the degree to which virtual laboratory activities engage students in authentic scientific reasoning, including hypothesis formulation, experimental design, data analysis, and evidence-based argumentation.

This four-dimensional framework will inform the subsequent analysis of the pedagogical affordances, challenges, and recommendations discussed in the following sections of this paper.

3. Pedagogical Affordances of AI-Powered Virtual Laboratories for Chemistry Education

3.1 Safety, Risk Management, and Experimental Breadth

One of the most immediately significant affordances of AI-powered virtual laboratories for Chemistry education is the elimination of the physical safety risks inherent in conventional laboratory work. Undergraduate Chemistry experiments frequently involve hazardous chemicals — corrosive acids, toxic solvents, flammable reagents, and reactive compounds — whose safe handling demands both functional safety infrastructure and a high degree of student competence and vigilance. In many Nigerian universities, inadequate fume hoods, absent or expired personal protective equipment, malfunctioning fire safety systems, and insufficiently trained laboratory technicians create conditions in which student safety cannot be reliably assured (Achor et al., 2014). Virtual laboratory environments eliminate these risks entirely, allowing students to explore hazardous chemical processes — including high-temperature reactions, radioactive decay simulations, and toxic substance handling — without any physical danger.

Beyond safety, virtual laboratories dramatically expand the range of experiments accessible to undergraduate Chemistry students. Experiments that are prohibitively expensive, time-consuming, or technically demanding in a physical laboratory — such as multi-week synthesis procedures, electrochemical investigations requiring specialized instrumentation, or spectroscopic analyses using equipment costing millions of naira — can be conducted in simulated form at negligible marginal cost. This expansion of experimental breadth is pedagogically significant: it allows students to encounter the full scope of undergraduate Chemistry practical work, rather than being confined to the small subset of experiments that a poorly resourced physical laboratory can support.

3.2 Personalization and Adaptive Feedback

The integration of AI into virtual laboratory environments transforms them from static simulations into dynamic, responsive educational systems capable of personalizing the learning experience to individual student needs and capabilities. AI-powered adaptive learning engines can monitor the sequence and accuracy of students' experimental actions in real time, identify procedural errors and conceptual misconceptions, and deploy targeted instructional interventions — hints, explanations, worked examples, or corrective feedback — precisely calibrated to the nature of each student's difficulty. This level of individualization is essentially impossible in the context of large, under-staffed Nigerian university laboratories, where a single demonstrator or lecturer may be responsible for overseeing dozens or even hundreds of students simultaneously.

Research on adaptive virtual laboratory systems has demonstrated their effectiveness in improving student performance relative to both conventional didactic instruction and non-adaptive simulation environments. Makransky and Lilleholt (2018) found that adaptive feedback in immersive educational simulations significantly enhanced learning outcomes and reduced cognitive overload compared to non-adaptive formats. Similarly, Bransford et al. (2000) emphasized that learning environments that provide timely, specific, and knowledge-informed feedback are among the most powerful drivers of student achievement. AI-powered virtual laboratories embody precisely these characteristics, offering a quality of formative assessment support that even well-resourced physical laboratories rarely provide.

3.3 Visualization of Abstract and Sub-Microscopic Phenomena

A distinctive and well-documented challenge in Chemistry education is the inherent invisibility of chemical phenomena at the molecular and atomic scale. The sub-microscopic level of chemical reality — atoms, molecules, ions, electron clouds, reaction mechanisms, and intermolecular forces — is inaccessible to direct sensory experience, yet constitutes the explanatory foundation of virtually all macroscopic chemical phenomena. Research consistently identifies the conceptual translation between macroscopic observations and sub-microscopic explanations as one of the most demanding cognitive challenges facing undergraduate Chemistry students, and a major source of persistent misconceptions (Johnstone, 1991).

AI-powered virtual laboratories are uniquely equipped to address this challenge through dynamic, interactive visualization of chemical phenomena at multiple scales simultaneously. Advanced molecular simulation platforms can render three-dimensional representations of reaction mechanisms, electron density distributions, crystal structures, and conformational changes with a level of visual clarity and interactivity that no physical laboratory demonstration can approach. Students can rotate molecular models, adjust reaction conditions, observe the effects of structural changes on reactivity, and explore the relationship between molecular geometry and macroscopic properties in ways that directly support the conceptual bridging between the macroscopic and sub-microscopic levels of chemical understanding. Studies have shown that interactive molecular visualization tools significantly improve students' understanding of reaction mechanisms, stereochemistry, and spectroscopic data interpretation (Wu et al., 2001; Kelly et al., 2020).

3.4 Accessibility, Equity, and Democratization of Quality Education

Perhaps the most transformative pedagogical affordance of AI-powered virtual laboratories in the Nigerian context is their potential to democratize access to quality Chemistry education across the highly unequal landscape of Nigerian higher education. The current state of Chemistry laboratory provision in Nigeria is characterized by profound institutional inequality: a small number of well-funded federal universities in major urban centers possess reasonably equipped laboratories, while the majority of state universities, colleges of education, and polytechnics — particularly those in rural areas — operate with severely degraded or largely non-functional laboratory facilities (NUC, 2022; Aina, 2013).

Cloud-based AI-powered virtual laboratory platforms, accessible via internet-connected devices, have the potential to make internationally benchmarked experimental experiences available to Chemistry students regardless of the physical laboratory resources of their institution. A student at a rural college of education in Kebbi State can, in principle, conduct the same high-quality simulated experiments as a student at the University of Lagos, encounter the same adaptive feedback, and develop the same practical reasoning skills. This equalization of experimental learning opportunity represents a profound potential contribution to educational equity in Nigeria — a country in which the quality of higher education available to students is currently determined to a significant degree by institutional affiliation and geographic location.

3.5 Promoting Scientific Inquiry and Higher-Order Thinking

Well-designed AI-powered virtual laboratory environments do not merely simulate the procedural execution of prescribed experiments; they can also serve as platforms for open-ended scientific inquiry, in which students formulate hypotheses, design experiments, collect and analyze data, and construct evidence-based arguments. This inquiry-based orientation aligns with the Bloom's taxonomy emphasis on higher-order cognitive skills — analysis, evaluation, and creation — that are the intended outcomes of university-level science education, and that are frequently underserved by traditional cookbook-style laboratory practicals (Hofstein & Lunetta, 2004).

Research comparing inquiry-based virtual laboratory environments with conventional cookbook practical formats has consistently found advantages for inquiry-based approaches in the development of scientific reasoning skills, conceptual understanding, and epistemic cognition — students' understanding of the nature of scientific knowledge and evidence (Klahr & Nigam, 2004; Areepattamannil et al., 2020). In the Nigerian context, where undergraduate Chemistry laboratory sessions frequently take the form of highly prescriptive practical exercises with predetermined outcomes, AI-powered virtual laboratories capable of supporting genuine inquiry represent a significant opportunity to elevate the intellectual quality of practical Chemistry education.

4. Challenges and Barriers to Adoption in Nigeria

4.1 Infrastructural Deficits

The most fundamental and pervasive challenge to the adoption of AI-powered virtual laboratories in Nigerian universities is the inadequacy of the physical and digital infrastructure on which these technologies depend. Unreliable electricity supply is perhaps the most critical constraint: a 2021 survey by the Nigerian Electricity Regulatory Commission found that the average Nigerian household and institution experienced over 12 hours of power outage per day (NERC, 2021). For technology-intensive educational tools that require continuous power and internet connectivity to function, this level of electrical instability constitutes a severe and systemic barrier. Diesel generator alternatives represent a significant and recurring operational cost that many institutions cannot sustainably absorb.

Internet connectivity in Nigerian universities, while improving in urban centers, remains inadequate and inequitably distributed. Many institutions — particularly state and federal universities in the North-West, North-East, and North-Central geopolitical zones — lack the bandwidth necessary to support cloud-based virtual laboratory platforms, especially when accessed simultaneously by large numbers of students. The cost of data connectivity in Nigeria remains among the highest in sub-Saharan Africa relative to per capita income (ITU, 2022), placing consistent internet access beyond the means of many students. The computing hardware available to students and departments is likewise often outdated, insufficient in quantity, and inadequately maintained.

4.2 Digital Literacy and Technological Competence

Effective utilization of AI-powered virtual laboratory platforms requires a baseline level of digital literacy and technological competence among both students and academic staff that cannot be uniformly assumed across Nigerian universities. While urban students with prior exposure to smartphones, computers, and internet services may navigate virtual laboratory interfaces with relative ease, students from rural backgrounds with limited prior technology exposure may experience significant difficulties. This digital divide — which maps onto existing socioeconomic and geographic inequalities in Nigeria — means that the introduction of virtual laboratory technologies without careful attention to digital literacy development risks amplifying rather than attenuating existing educational inequalities (Akinyemi & Ofoegbu, 2020).

The digital competence of academic staff presents an equally significant challenge. Many Chemistry lecturers in Nigerian universities, particularly those in the mid-career and senior cohorts, received their training before the advent of virtual laboratory technologies and may lack both the technical skills and the pedagogical knowledge needed to integrate these tools effectively into their teaching practice. Without deliberate and sustained faculty development support, the deployment of virtual laboratory platforms risks being superficial and pedagogically ineffective — a technological veneer on essentially unchanged teaching practices (Eze et al., 2018).

4.3 Economic and Financial Barriers

The procurement, licensing, and maintenance of high-quality AI-powered virtual laboratory platforms represents a significant financial investment that is beyond the current budgetary capacity of many Nigerian universities. Commercial virtual laboratory platforms such as Labster, PhET Interactive Simulations, and ChemCollective typically operate on subscription or licensing models that, while affordable by international standards, may be prohibitively expensive for Nigerian institutions operating under severe financial constraints. Nigeria's persistent fiscal challenges — including revenue shortfalls, currency depreciation, and competing budgetary priorities — have resulted in chronically underfunded university systems in which basic operational expenses frequently go unmet.

Open-source virtual laboratory platforms offer a partial solution to this economic barrier, but often at the cost of reduced functionality, limited AI adaptivity, and less sophisticated simulation fidelity. The development of bespoke national virtual laboratory platforms — adapted to the Nigerian Chemistry curriculum and designed for the constraints of the local technology environment — represents a strategically important long-term investment but requires substantial upfront capital and sustained institutional commitment that have historically been difficult to mobilize within the Nigerian higher education system.

4.4 Curricular and Pedagogical Resistance

Beyond infrastructural and economic barriers, the adoption of AI-powered virtual laboratories faces resistance rooted in curricular inertia and pedagogical conservatism within Nigerian Chemistry departments. Undergraduate Chemistry curricula in Nigeria are largely structured around the assumption of physical laboratory access, and practical assessment frameworks — including continuous assessment and end-of-semester practical examinations — are predicated on students' performance in physical laboratory settings. The integration of virtual laboratory experiences into these frameworks requires curricular review, examination reform, and renegotiation of accreditation standards with the NUC — processes that are inherently slow and institutionally challenging.

Skepticism among senior academics regarding the equivalence of virtual and physical laboratory experiences also represents a real, if sometimes overstated, barrier. Concerns about whether virtual laboratory work can genuinely develop the tactile skills, safety awareness, and practical judgment associated with physical laboratory experience are legitimate and deserve serious scholarly engagement. Research suggests that optimal outcomes are achieved through blended approaches that combine virtual and physical laboratory experiences, rather than wholesale replacement of one modality by the other (de Jong et al., 2013). Effective adoption strategies must therefore address pedagogical concerns constructively, positioning virtual laboratories as complements to — rather than substitutes for — physical laboratory education wherever physical laboratory access can be made available.

4.5 Policy and Regulatory Gaps

Nigeria currently lacks a coherent, comprehensive national policy framework for the integration of AI-powered educational technologies into higher education. The NUC's minimum academic standards documents make limited reference to virtual or digital laboratory provision, and there is no national guidance on quality assurance standards for virtual laboratory-based practical assessment. The absence of clear policy frameworks creates institutional uncertainty, discourages investment, and leaves individual institutions without the regulatory support needed to validate virtual laboratory-based practical education in their accreditation processes.

5. Comparative Experiences from Developing Country Contexts

Nigeria's challenges in Chemistry laboratory provision and its emerging engagement with virtual laboratory technologies are not unique; comparable experiences across the developing world provide instructive precedents and cautionary lessons for Nigerian policymakers and educators.

In South Africa, the Council on Higher Education has encouraged the adoption of virtual laboratory technologies as a means of addressing persistent inequalities in science education access between historically advantaged and historically disadvantaged institutions. Several South African universities have implemented blended laboratory models combining PhET simulations and Labster virtual experiments with reduced-frequency physical laboratory sessions, achieving comparable learning outcomes to full physical laboratory programmes at significantly lower cost (Mashile et al., 2021). The South African experience highlights the importance of strong institutional leadership, faculty development, and clear quality assurance frameworks in driving effective adoption.

In India, a national initiative — the Virtual Labs project under the National Mission on Education through ICT — has developed and deployed free, high-quality virtual laboratory platforms across disciplines including Chemistry, Physics, and Biology, reaching millions of students across the country (Sinha, 2016). The Indian experience demonstrates the feasibility and transformative potential of government-led, nationally coordinated virtual laboratory development at scale, and offers a potentially relevant model for Nigeria given the comparable scale and diversity of the two countries' higher education systems.

In Kenya and Ghana, universities have implemented low-bandwidth virtual laboratory platforms specifically designed for the connectivity constraints of sub-Saharan African institutions, demonstrating that the technical limitations of the African internet environment need not preclude effective virtual laboratory adoption (Oduol, 2019). These initiatives have combined virtual laboratory provision with solar-powered computing infrastructure and offline-capable platform architectures to address the dual challenges of power and connectivity insecurity.

These comparative experiences collectively suggest that effective adoption of virtual laboratory technologies in resource-constrained developing country contexts requires: (i) national-level policy commitment and coordination; (ii) deliberate infrastructure investment addressing power and connectivity constraints; (iii) adaptation of platforms to local curricular and connectivity conditions; (iv) sustained faculty development support; and (v) rigorous evaluation of learning outcomes to build the evidence base for continued investment.

6. Recommendations

6.1 National Policy and Regulatory Framework

The Federal Government of Nigeria, acting through the Federal Ministry of Education and the NUC, should develop and promulgate a comprehensive national policy framework for the integration of AI-powered virtual laboratories into undergraduate Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) education. This framework should: establish minimum standards for virtual laboratory provision as part of accreditation criteria for Chemistry programmes; create quality assurance guidelines for virtual laboratory-based practical assessment; provide a regulatory basis for the recognition of virtual laboratory credit within undergraduate Chemistry degree programmes; and designate responsibility and accountability for national virtual laboratory development and procurement initiatives.

6.2 Infrastructure Investment

Sustained and targeted investment in the digital infrastructure of Nigerian universities is a precondition for effective virtual laboratory adoption. TETFund should establish a dedicated Digital Infrastructure for Science Education (DISE) funding stream, providing grants to universities and colleges of education for the procurement of computing hardware, installation of reliable internet connectivity, and deployment of solar power systems capable of supporting technology-enhanced learning facilities. Priority should be given to institutions in geopolitical zones with the greatest infrastructure deficits, ensuring that investment reduces rather than reproduces existing inequalities.

6.3 Development of a National Virtual Chemistry Laboratory Platform

Nigeria should invest in the development of a national open-access AI-powered virtual Chemistry laboratory platform, modeled on India's Virtual Labs initiative and adapted to the Nigerian undergraduate Chemistry curriculum, the constraints of the local technology environment, and the pedagogical needs of Nigerian students. This platform should be developed through a coordinated national consortium involving universities, the NUC, the Nigerian Educational Research and Development Council (NERDC), and international development partners such as UNESCO and the World Bank. The platform should be freely accessible to all Nigerian universities and colleges of education, regularly updated to reflect curriculum revisions, and designed for low-bandwidth deployment.

6.4 Capacity Building for Academic Staff

A nationally coordinated programme of capacity building for Chemistry academic staff in the pedagogical use of virtual laboratory technologies should be established as a priority. This programme should encompass: initial training workshops on the operation and pedagogical integration of virtual laboratory platforms; continuing professional development support for the design of inquiry-based virtual laboratory activities; peer learning networks connecting Chemistry educators across institutions; and the development of nationally recognized digital pedagogy credentials. The programme should be delivered through a blended format combining face-to-face residential workshops with online peer learning communities, minimizing the time and travel demands on participating staff.

6.5 Curriculum Reform and Assessment Innovation

NUC, in collaboration with Chemistry departments and professional bodies including the Chemical Society of Nigeria (CSN), should undertake a systematic review of undergraduate Chemistry curricula and practical assessment frameworks to integrate virtual laboratory experiences as formally recognized components of practical Chemistry education. Assessment instruments — including formative e-assessments embedded within virtual laboratory platforms and summative virtual practical examinations — should be developed, piloted, and validated to ensure that they reliably measure the competencies intended by practical Chemistry education. Blended laboratory models that combine virtual and physical laboratory experiences should be developed as the standard practical education framework, with virtual components providing both complementary and compensatory functions relative to physical laboratory provision.

6.6 Research and Evidence Generation

The currently limited evidence base for the effectiveness of virtual laboratory technologies in Nigerian and broader African Chemistry education contexts represents a significant gap that must be addressed through systematic research investment. Funding agencies — including TETFund, the National Research Foundation, and international development partners — should prioritize research grants investigating the learning outcomes, equity implications, cost-effectiveness, and implementation dynamics of virtual laboratory adoption across diverse Nigerian institutional contexts. Nigerian Chemistry education researchers are specifically encouraged to pursue rigorous empirical studies — including randomized controlled designs, longitudinal outcome tracking, and mixed-methods implementation analyses — that can contribute to both local policy and global scholarly discourse.

7. Conclusion

This paper has argued that AI-powered simulations and virtual laboratories represent a genuinely transformative opportunity for undergraduate Chemistry education in Nigeria. The convergence of deep and persistent deficiencies in physical laboratory provision, a large and growing undergraduate student population, and the rapid maturation of AI-powered educational simulation technologies creates both a compelling need and a genuine possibility for a fundamental reimagining of practical Chemistry education in Nigerian universities and colleges of education. Grounded in the theoretical frameworks of constructivism, experiential learning, and connectivism, AI-powered virtual laboratories offer undergraduate Chemistry students — regardless of the physical laboratory resources of their institution — access to safe, personalized, intellectually rich, and inquiry-oriented experimental learning experiences.

The challenges to adoption are real and must not be minimized. Infrastructural deficits, digital literacy gaps, economic barriers, curricular inertia, and policy gaps collectively constitute a formidable obstacle to rapid and equitable virtual laboratory adoption across Nigeria's diverse higher education system. However, comparative experiences from South Africa, India, Kenya, and Ghana demonstrate that these challenges are not insurmountable, and that deliberate, coordinated, and sustained policy and investment action can generate transformative outcomes within relatively short timeframes.

The recommendations advanced in this paper — spanning national policy development, infrastructure investment, platform development, capacity building, curriculum reform, and research — constitute a coherent and actionable agenda for the transformation of Chemistry practical education in Nigeria. Their realization will require genuine commitment from government agencies, university administrations, academic staff, technology developers, and international development partners, as well as from Chemistry students themselves, who are ultimately the intended beneficiaries of this transformation. The stakes are high: the quality of Chemistry education that Nigerian universities provide today will shape the capacity of Nigerian science and technology to contribute to national and global development for generations to come. AI-powered virtual laboratories offer a powerful and increasingly affordable means of raising that quality — and Nigeria cannot afford to delay their adoption.

References

1. Achor, E. E., Imoko, B. I., & Jimin, S. N. (2014). An investigation into the laboratory resource availability and utilization in some selected secondary schools in Benue State, Nigeria. Asian Journal of Education and e-Learning, 2(1), 74–80.
2. Aina, J. K. (2013). Effective teaching and learning in science education through information and communication technology (ICT). IOSR Journal of Research and Method in Education, 2(5), 43–47.
3. Akinyemi, A. F., & Ofoegbu, T. O. (2020). Availability and use of information and communication technology in teaching and learning in Nigerian universities. Journal of Education and Learning, 9(4), 90–100.
4. Areepattamannil, S., Melkonian, M., & Khine, M. S. (2020). Inquiry-based science teaching and learning: Research and practice. Springer.
5. Bransford, J. D., Brown, A. L., & Cocking, R. R. (Eds.). (2000). How people learn: Brain, mind, experience, and school. National Academy Press.
6. de Jong, T., Linn, M. C., & Zacharia, Z. C. (2013). Physical and virtual laboratories in science and engineering education. Science, 340(6130), 305–308. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1230579
7. Driver, R., Asoko, H., Leach, J., Mortimer, E., & Scott, P. (1994). Constructing scientific knowledge in the classroom. Educational Researcher, 23(7), 5–12.
8. Eze, S. C., Chinedu-Eze, V. C., & Bello, A. O. (2018). The utilisation of e-learning facilities in the educational delivery system of Nigeria: A study of M-University. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 15(1), 1–20.
9. Hofstein, A., & Lunetta, V. N. (2004). The laboratory in science education: Foundations for the twenty-first century. Science Education, 88(1), 28–54. https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.10106
10. International Telecommunication Union (ITU). (2022). Measuring digital development: Facts and figures 2022. ITU.
11. Johnstone, A. H. (1991). Why is science difficult to learn? Things are seldom what they seem. Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 7(2), 75–83.
12. Kelly, R. M., Panhans, J., & Gavin, R. (2020). Connecting macroscopic observations to particulate nature of matter via technology-enhanced chemistry instruction. Chemistry Education Research and Practice, 21(3), 852–864.
13. Klahr, D., & Nigam, M. (2004). The equivalence of learning paths in early science instruction: Effects of direct instruction and discovery learning. Psychological Science, 15(10), 661–667.
14. Kolb, D. A. (1984). Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development. Prentice-Hall.
15. Makransky, G., & Lilleholt, L. (2018). A structural equation modeling investigation of the emotional value of immersive virtual reality in education. Educational Technology Research and Development, 66(5), 1141–1164.
16. Mashile, E. O., Fynn, A., & Matoane, M. (2021). Blended laboratory models in South African undergraduate science education: A comparative analysis. South African Journal of Higher Education, 35(2), 127–145.
17. National Electricity Regulatory Commission (NERC). (2021). Nigerian electricity sector performance report. NERC.
18. National Universities Commission (NUC). (2022). Annual report on Nigerian university education. NUC Press.
19. Oduol, T. (2019). Low-bandwidth virtual laboratories for sub-Saharan African higher education: Design principles and outcomes. Journal of Educational Technology in Developing Countries, 6(1), 34–51.
20. Piaget, J. (1972). The psychology of the child. Basic Books.
21. Potkonjak, V., Gardner, M., Callaghan, V., Mattila, P., Guetl, C., Petrovic, V. M., & Jovanovic, K. (2016). Virtual laboratories for education in science, technology, and engineering: A review. Computers and Education, 95, 309–327. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2016.02.002
22. Siemens, G. (2005). Connectivism: A learning theory for the digital age. International Journal of Instructional Technology and Distance Learning, 2(1), 3–10.
23. Sinha, M. (2016). Virtual labs project: Bringing laboratory experience to remote students in India. Journal of Engineering Education Transformations, 29(3), 77–85.
24. UNESCO. (2021). AI and education: Guidance for policy-makers. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization.
25. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes. Harvard University Press.
26. Wu, H.-K., Krajcik, J. S., & Soloway, E. (2001). Promoting understanding of chemical representations: Students' use of a visualization tool in the classroom. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 38(7), 821–842.
27. Zacharia, Z. C., & Olympiou, G. (2011). Physical versus virtual manipulative experimentation in physics learning. Learning and Instruction, 21(3), 317–331. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2010.01.001

